

Ethical Guidelines for the Authors

Conflict of Interest

Authors are requested to disclose any conflict of interest whether financial, personal or social that is liable to directly or indirectly inappropriately influence or bias the work being submitted for publication. The author is directed to write a note at the end of the manuscript providing information about his/her activities and relationships that if known to others can be seen as a potential conflict of interest, even if the researcher himself/herself does not consider it as a source of bias.

Declaration

The author has to give a declaration that this is his/her original work and has not been published elsewhere or is under consideration for publication. The author(s) have to give undertaking that the work or any part of this work has not been published previously in English or any other language. However, manuscripts previously published in abstracted form (e.g., in proceedings of an annual meeting) or in a periodical with limited circulation and availability (e.g., a report by a university department or a governmental agency) can be offered for publication. This does not exclude material that has been offered for public sale such as conference proceedings or a book chapter. If an article is authored by more than one author then each one has to explicitly give an undertaking that they are willing to publish this article in the journal.

Data Retention and Sharing

At any point during the process of review if any question arises about the accuracy of the report, the authors will then be required to share their raw data with the editor. If the author refuses to do so then the Editor holds the right to reject the manuscript.

Plagiarism

Researchers are directed to guard against citing any idea or words that is not their own. References are required to be cited with the text giving credit to the due source of the idea. In case exact words are copied from the source then place the words in quotation marks and give reference with Pg #. However, if you are writing it in your own words then only cite the reference without quotation marks (see APA for further details). This is essential for the maintenance and upholding of the intellectual integrity of a researcher and for the advancement of scientific knowledge and free exchange of ideas. In case a manuscript has been found to have a similarity index more than 10% then it will be either rejected or given for conditional acceptance based on the decision of the reviewing editor.

Authorship

If an article is authored by more than one author then the one who made the primary scholarly contribution should be assigned as the primary author followed by those who made substantial contributions. A substantial contribution means that the individual has made contribution in any of the following three categories: (1) designing the study (e.g., formulating the problem or hypothesis, structuring the experimental design), organizing and conducting the statistical analyses and interpreting the data, (2) drafting the article or writing a major portion of the article or critically reviewing it for important intellectual content. The names of the authors will be written in order of decreasing contribution. The authors also have to give an undertaking that all scholarly contributions have been acknowledged as authors and that there is no other author (except those listed in the article) who contributed in the process of research and preparation of manuscript. Each author should take the

responsibility of the integrity of the portion that he/she contributed from the inception to its publication. Those who contributed materially should not be included as authors.

Acknowledgement

The contribution of people, organizations and institutes who helped and assisted the process of the research should be acknowledged by the authors such as people who provided purely technical help or writing assistant or emotional/material support (e.g., such as designing or building the apparatus, suggesting or advising about the statistical analysis, collecting or entering the data, modifying a computer program or recruiting participants).

Copyright

Pakistan Journal of Professional Psychology reserve the right for the widest possible dissemination of the article. [Pakistan Journal of Professional Psychology: Research and Practice](#) © 2006 by [Centre for Clinical Psychology, University of the Punjab, Lahore](#) is licensed under [CC BY-NC 4.0](#) 

Acknowledging and Citing your own Previously Conducted Work

If the author (s) want to republish the previously conducted work with major corrections and by conducting more sophisticated and up-to-date analysis then they are required to acknowledge the amount of work that previously published and cite reference with it in the relevant section (like method or results). However, it the decision to republish the improved manuscript will rest with the Chief editor. If during the process of manuscript reviewing or publication the editorial board discovers that the manuscript is a duplication publication than it will be rejected right away.

Permission to Use the Work of Others

If the article uses excerpts or any other copyrighted material, the author(s) are requested to include written permission taken from the said source and give credit to the source for that piece of information. The author has to give undertaking that all copyrighted sources have been acknowledged in their manuscript and attach copy of the written permission that they acquired for the use of the copyrighted material.

Role of the Funding Source

The author(s) are requested to identify the funding source(s) for conducting the research and/or preparing the article. Briefly mention the role of all the sponsor(s) during the stages of research (study design, collection of data, analysis and data interpretation and decision for submission of paper for publication). If the funding source(s) had no involvement during this process then this should be cleanly stated.

Privacy of Patient(s) and their Families

Patients and third party reserve the right to confidentiality and their information cannot be infringed without the informed consent from the concerned party. The author should be sensitive to the privacy consideration for the welfare and respect of the patient. Only information that furthers the understanding of the case should be shared and the non-essential identifying features of the case should be omitted. However, it is up to the author to decide how much information suffices for the explanation of the case presentation. In such instances where it is essential to share the relevant features of the case that may elicit the identity of the participant, written informed consent should be taken from the participant and guardian/family. The author is required to give a declaration statement to the journal before the end of the

article stating the extent to which the information was masked or fictionalized and whether written informed consent was obtained from the participant. An informed consent from them should also be taken from the families of deceased patients. However, this is a quite sensitive issue and the researcher is suggested not to change essential variables that would lead the reader to draw false conclusion about the phenomena under study.

Privacy of Author

The journal practices the policy of masked peer-review in both directions. The identity of the author is removed when it is sent to initial reviewers and then peer reviewers. The confidentiality of the author is maintained and protected. The content of the manuscript is also kept confidential before its publication and is only shared with the reviewers and the editor(s), who are obliged not to disseminate any part of the work to any other party or source.

Timing of Peer Review

The review period will be between three to six months; however, it depends upon the length and complexity of the manuscript as well. Moreover, the author can contact the editor if no correspondence has occurred regarding the status of the reviewing process.

Manuscript Acceptance/Rejection

The authors will be intimated about the status of the manuscript after the reviewing process. The result will be either of the following: Acceptance, Rejection, Rejection with invitation to revise and resubmit (Conditional acceptance). In case the article is rejected and the author has reservations about the rejection then the author can appeal to the editor's decision by contacting the editor. However, in case of rejection the author reserves the right to publish the article in any other journal. In case of conditional acceptance, the author will have to provide written explanation of the corrections made in the manuscript. The revised manuscript will once again go through the process of reviewing and will be accepted based on the judgment of the reviewers and the editor.

References

- American Psychological Association. (2010). Preparing manuscript for publication in psychology journals: a guide for new authors. Washington DC: American Psychological Association. <http://www.apa.org/pubs/authors/new-author-guide.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2002). Certification of compliance with APA ethical principles. <http://www.apa.org/pubs/authors/ethics02.pdf> Committee on
- publication ethics. (2011). Code of conduct and best practice guidelines for journal editors. http://www.publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf
- Graf et al. (2007). Best practice guidelines on publication ethics: a publisher's perspective. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 61 (152), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2006.01230.x>